## **Environmental Protection Agency**

- (11) Whether the stationary source is subject to 40 CFR part 355;
- (12) If the stationary source has a CAA Title V operating permit, the permit number; and
- (13) The date of the last safety inspection of the stationary source by a Federal, state, or local government agency and the identity of the inspecting entity.
- (14) As of June 21, 2004, the name, the mailing address, and the telephone number of the contractor who prepared the RMP (if any);
- (15) Source or Parent Company E-Mail Address (Optional);
- (16) Source Homepage address (Optional)
- (17) Phone number at the source for public inquiries (Optional);
- (18) Local Emergency Planning Committee (Optional);
- (19) OSHA Voluntary Protection Program status (Optional);
- (20) As of June 21, 2004, the type of and reason for any changes being made to a previously submitted RMP; the types of changes to RMP are categorized as follows:
- (i) Updates and re-submissions required under §68.190(b);
- (ii) Corrections under §68.195 or for purposes of correcting minor clerical errors, updating administrative information, providing missing data elements or reflecting facility ownership changes, and which do not require an update and re-submission as specified in §68.190(b);
- (iii) De-registrations required under §68.190(c): and
- (iv) Withdrawals of an RMP for any facility that was erroneously considered subject to this part 68.

[61 FR 31726, June 20, 1996, as amended at 64 FR 980, Jan. 6, 1999; 69 FR 18831, Apr. 9, 2004]

### § 68.165 Offsite consequence analysis.

- (a) The owner or operator shall submit in the RMP information:
- (1) One worst-case release scenario for each Program 1 process; and
- (2) For Program 2 and 3 processes, one worst-case release scenario to represent all regulated toxic substances held above the threshold quantity and one worst-case release scenario to represent all regulated flammable substances held above the threshold quan-

tity. If additional worst-case scenarios for toxics or flammables are required by \$68.25(a)(2)(iii), the owner or operator shall submit the same information on the additional scenario(s). The owner or operator of Program 2 and 3 processes shall also submit information on one alternative release scenario for each regulated toxic substance held above the threshold quantity and one alternative release scenario to represent all regulated flammable substances held above the threshold quantity.

- (b) The owner or operator shall submit the following data:
  - (1) Chemical name;
- (2) Percentage weight of the chemical in a liquid mixture (toxics only);
  - (3) Physical state (toxics only);
- (4) Basis of results (give model name if used):
- (5) Scenario (explosion, fire, toxic gas release, or liquid spill and evaporation);
  - (6) Quantity released in pounds;
  - (7) Release rate;
  - (8) Release duration;
- (9) Wind speed and atmospheric stability class (toxics only);
  - (10) Topography (toxics only);
  - (11) Distance to endpoint:
- (12) Public and environmental receptors within the distance:
- (13) Passive mitigation considered; and
- (14) Active mitigation considered (alternative releases only);
- [61 FR 31726, June 20, 1996, as amended at 64 FR 980, Jan. 6, 1999]

#### §68.168 Five-year accident history.

The owner or operator shall submit in the RMP the information provided in §68.42(b) on each accident covered by §68.42(a).

# $\S 68.170$ Prevention program/Program 2.

(a) For each Program 2 process, the owner or operator shall provide in the RMP the information indicated in paragraphs (b) through (k) of this section. If the same information applies to more than one covered process, the owner or operator may provide the information only once, but shall indicate to which processes the information applies.

### § 68.175

- (b) The five- or six-digit NAICS code that most closely corresponds to the process.
- (c) The name(s) of the chemical(s) covered.
- (d) The date of the most recent review or revision of the safety information and a list of Federal or state regulations or industry-specific design codes and standards used to demonstrate compliance with the safety information requirement.
- (e) The date of completion of the most recent hazard review or update.
- (1) The expected date of completion of any changes resulting from the hazard review:
  - (2) Major hazards identified;
  - (3) Process controls in use;
  - (4) Mitigation systems in use;
- (5) Monitoring and detection systems in use: and
- (6) Changes since the last hazard review.
- (f) The date of the most recent review or revision of operating procedures.
- (g) The date of the most recent review or revision of training programs;
- (1) The type of training provided—classroom, classroom plus on the job, on the job; and
- (2) The type of competency testing used.
- (h) The date of the most recent review or revision of maintenance procedures and the date of the most recent equipment inspection or test and the equipment inspected or tested.
- (i) The date of the most recent compliance audit and the expected date of completion of any changes resulting from the compliance audit.
- (j) The date of the most recent incident investigation and the expected date of completion of any changes resulting from the investigation.
- (k) The date of the most recent change that triggered a review or revision of safety information, the hazard review, operating or maintenance procedures, or training.

[61 FR 31726, June 20, 1996, as amended at 64 FR 980, Jan. 6, 1999]

## § 68.175 Prevention program/Program 3.

(a) For each Program 3 process, the owner or operator shall provide the information indicated in paragraphs (b)

through (p) of this section. If the same information applies to more than one covered process, the owner or operator may provide the information only once, but shall indicate to which processes the information applies.

- (b) The five- or six-digit NAICS code that most closely corresponds to the process.
- (c) The name(s) of the substance(s) covered.
- (d) The date on which the safety information was last reviewed or revised.
- (e) The date of completion of the most recent PHA or update and the technique used.
- (1) The expected date of completion of any changes resulting from the PHA;
  - (2) Major hazards identified;
  - (3) Process controls in use:
  - (4) Mitigation systems in use;
- (5) Monitoring and detection systems in use; and
  - (6) Changes since the last PHA.
- (f) The date of the most recent review or revision of operating procedures.
- (g) The date of the most recent review or revision of training programs;
- (1) The type of training provided—classroom, classroom plus on the job, on the job; and
- (2) The type of competency testing used.
- (h) The date of the most recent review or revision of maintenance procedures and the date of the most recent equipment inspection or test and the equipment inspected or tested.
- (i) The date of the most recent change that triggered management of change procedures and the date of the most recent review or revision of management of change procedures.
- (j) The date of the most recent prestartup review.
- (k) The date of the most recent compliance audit and the expected date of completion of any changes resulting from the compliance audit;
- (1) The date of the most recent incident investigation and the expected date of completion of any changes resulting from the investigation;
- (m) The date of the most recent review or revision of employee participation plans;
- (n) The date of the most recent review or revision of hot work permit procedures;